



County of Surry, Virginia
Planning Commission Meeting Minutes
October 27, 2025

MEETING CONVENED: The meeting of the Surry County Planning Commission was called to order at 6 PM on Monday, October 27, 2025, in the Surry County General District Court, 45 School Street, Surry, VA, by Dr. Giron Wooden, Jr., Chair.

ATTENDANCE: The following members responded present to Roll Call:

Dr. Giron Wooden, Jr., Chair
Mr. Thomas Hardy, Vice Chair
Mr. Eddie Brock
Mr. Theodore Lunsford
Mr. Earl Newby, Sr.
Mr. Breyon Pierce
Mr. David Coggin
Ms. Dianne Cheek

Absent:

Ms. Carmen D. Judkins
Mr. Stephen Berryman
Mr. William Seward, IV

Staff Present

Mr. Horace Wade, III, Director of Planning and Community Development
Ms. Regina Hilton, Administrative Assistant
Ms. Haley Keene, Senior Planner
Mr. Lorenzo Turner, Planner I
Ms. Lola Perkins, County Attorney

Others Present:

Ms. Anne Darby, Planning Department Manager for Summit Design and Engineering
Mr. William Teeples, Planner II for Summit Design and Engineering

PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE: All

ADOPTING OF AGENDA: Chair Wooden entertained a motion to adopt the meeting's agenda. It was moved by Mr. Newby and seconded by Mr. Lunsford.

In favor: (8) Wooden, Newby, Hardy, Brock, Lunsford, Cheek, Judkins, Coggin, Pierce

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 0

APPROVAL OF CONSENT ITEMS: Chair Wooden asked the Commissioners for the disposition of the minutes for September 22, 2025. A motion to approve was made by Mr. Hardy and seconded by Mr. Newby.

In favor: (8) Wooden, Newby, Hardy, Brock, Lunsford, Cheek, Judkins, Coggin, Pierce

Opposed: 0

Abstain: 0

OLD BUSINESS: None

NEW BUSINESS: Route 31 Corridor Opportunities Report (Draft)

Mr. Wade said in tonight's packet, along with a draft Comprehensive Plan, there is a separate draft format of the Route 31 Corridor Opportunities Plan. With assistance from a private firm, EPR PC and professional planners, the Plan was presented at the Virginia APA conference. Mr. Wade said that support and input for the report were also gathered from Chair Wooden and Vice Chair Mr. Hardy, along with suggestions from Planners, other localities, and staff. Pictures weren't included, but the draft plan has useful information for drafting language in the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Wade said that his plan would show the Commission the difference between what is in the plan versus the Comprehensive Plan.

Ms. Cheek said on page two, the last bullet text on the left, it speaks of underused sites. What is Mr. Wade referring to? It also speaks of promoting the corridor's assets in the near-term signage—sites like Bacon's Castle, Chippokes State Park, downtown Surry, and temporary popup events at underused sites. Ms. Cheek asked, "What are the underused sites?" Mr. Wade said that there are plenty of sites that are underused in the Town of Surry, which are vacant and abandoned buildings. Ms. Cheek asked if it was things like underused stores that aren't open. Mr. Wade said, "All those in between."

PUBLIC COMMENTS: Chair Wooden opened the Planning Commission meeting for public comments.

Honorable Tim Calhoun: Surry District

Mr. Calhoun said, looking at the handout that's attached to the agenda, he saw that it references a separate bike lane that will not run parallel to Route 31. It also mentioned the widening of 31 for a potential pedestrian lane. Mr. Calhoun said, living off Route 31 at Tappahanna Hundred, he sees cars exceed the speed limit quite often, trying to catch or get off the ferry. The speed limit is 55, and many times the cars speed 70 or 80 miles per hour, passing even on double lines. Mr. Calhoun asked the Commission, would it be a separate path for pedestrians or bikers, or the widening of Route 31?

Mr. Calhoun said in his opinion, "No matter how much you widen Route 31, you will still have Indy 500, and more space for speeding." He and a group of people, approximately four or five years ago, tried to have a multi-use path lane, and requested that a separate lane be detached from Route 31.

Mr. Wade said that he thought the County didn't want to extend Route 31, but to have separate bike lanes. Mr. Wade used the example of the Capital Trail on Route 5. Having children share that route would be the same as the route to catch the ferry. He would prefer having a separate bike path versus widening the lane.

Ms. Cheek asked Mr. Wade, when speaking of crashes at the intersection of Route 31 and Route 10, which intersection was he referring to? Mr. Wade said it was the intersection of Rocky Bottom Road and Route 10. The Smart Scale project was from the town of Surry intersection to the ferry. The Smart Scale project was supposed to incorporate funding for the entire length, but it was found that they would only fund half. Half of that would have covered the area where most accidents occurred.

Chair Wooden stated that an email was received from a citizen, who stated that they could not be at tonight's meeting, but would like to have the opportunity for their comments to be read. Mr. Wade read the email from Ms. Susan Covello, Claremont District.

Ms. Susan Covello:

"Good evening, members of the Planning Commission. As the County continues updating this Comprehensive Plan, I'd like to highlight a few areas of opportunity and long-term consideration that could greatly benefit our community. These points focus on strengthening local economic development, preparing for Future Land Use transitions, and supporting new residents who are choosing to make this County their home economic development. We have two industrial parks, one of which remains vacant that represents real potential for growth.

By prioritizing efforts to attract businesses to these sites, we can create jobs, expand our tax base, and provide more opportunities for residents. These areas are already well positioned for development and can serve as important anchors for economic revitalization in our County.

Energy transition and land reuse, it's important to think ahead about the County's solar farms. Many of these projects will reach the end of their operational lifespan around the same time, roughly within that five-year period, about 30 years from now.

We should begin planning now for how that land will be reused once the panels are decommissioned. So, it does not sit idle and continues to provide value to the canopy community, rural growth and home setting. Finally, we're seeing more people move into the County to homestay and embrace rural living. This trend brings new energy and opportunities to support small scale agriculture, entrepreneurship and community engagement.

But it also means that we need to plan carefully for infrastructure and plan use and resource management to ensure growth happens responsibly while preserving our rural character. I would also like to suggest that if we are not already involved in the Comprehensive Plan, a representative from each town in the EDA be included.

Also, if you have not sent notices to everyone who lives on Route 31, personally, that would be a nice addition to include if you are looking to change its traffic pattern or usage designation. I would also like to recommend that the Planning Director reviews. I would also recommend the Planning Director to have the Planning Commission assist in a review of any new subdivision applications or development plans that were previously reviewed by the Planning Commission to ensure an additional level of oversight and another set of eyes on the process. It's always a good thing to have another set of eyes on a project.

Thank you for your time here, for your continued commitment to guiding the County's future. Thoughtful planning today will help ensure that our committee remains strong, vibrant, and sustainable for generations to come. Respectfully, Susan Corvello, Claremont District.”

COMMITTEE UPDATES:

Mr. Wade said that notes tonight is from the Comprehensive Plan Subcommittee and the Subdivision Subcommittee meeting. They discussed the items that need to be in the subdivision ordinance, now versus later. Also, things that may be added.

- To make considerations for ordinance amendments for lot size in the AR district. To increase the minimum lot size in the AR District to 10 acres. To consider adding a new zoning district that would allow residential subdivisions between the minimum lots of two to three acres.
- Require anyone who wishes to have residential subdivisions to go through a rezoning process and say how the neighborhoods will be developed. To introduce or beef up our ordinance regarding family divisions. At this point, there's really no incentive for anyone to have a family division in Surry County.
- Citizens who own property in Surry County, having a new lot size of 10 acres minimum, have new regulations that will support family divisions. For family divisions, you would not necessarily have a 10-acre minimum, and lots would be substantially smaller (one or two acres).
- Notations or notifications for new subdivisions. An example of any Lots or subdivisions that are over five lots would not, at this time, be required to go before any Body.
- There isn't a notification system in place in our ordinance to explore ways to make sure the public knows that there is a proposed subdivision and provides advance notice in order to get their input. Instead of one day seeing trees, another day gone, and replacing them with homes less than six months later.
- Another question would be in addressing water systems in Surry County.
- To focus on the Ordinances, addressing how subdivisions are reviewed.
- Mr. Wade and Ms. Perkins had conversations to eventually update the Board of Supervisors and the Planning Commission about what types of input are concerning subdivisions that are brought before them.
- Legally, the Planning Commission does not have the authorization to approve subdivision plans

Mr. Coggin said one good thing that came out of the meeting was the family subdivisions. This would keep young people in the County and the ability to subdivide an acre of land. Mr. Coggin said another is the minimum lot size of 10 acres. If you have 10 acres of land, you could have a small farm with a horse and some agricultural field. This is a benefit of being in the AR District. But if you have 10 or 15 two-acre lots, you shouldn't have the same advantages as the AR District.

Mr. Wade said that in the subdivision meeting, they spoke of citizens in AR District, having smaller lot sizes, which are developed like neighborhoods. The neighborhoods end up with unenforceable (by the County) deed restrictions. The best way to enforce the restrictions would be if they were enforced by the County. Currently, the County does not enforce deed restrictions. Mr. Wade said, for example, if the deed restriction says that it is looking at a minimum lot size or not allowing mobile homes or

manufactured homes, we would currently follow what is in the subdivision or zoning ordinance. If there are zoning conditions, we must enforce those conditions within that development.

PC SECRETARY COMMUNICATION:

Mr. Wade said that Staff has been working with the two subcommittees on subdivisions. Maybe in the next two months, we will have a schedule to bring recommendations back to the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. The entire process will be completed by the middle of next year. Mr. Wade believes that the Staff could come back with some things immediately now, versus taking the whole six months.

WORK SESSION:

1. Comprehensive Plan Update & Discussion

- a. Presentation by Summit Design & Engineering Services (Consultants)
- b. Discussion
- c. Next Steps

Mr. Wade said that in tonight's work session, our consultants, Summit Designing Engineering Services, will present the Comprehensive Plan update. It is not a complete update, but a minor data update. Also, tonight, there are updates for the Future Land Use Map. Mr. Wade said the information falls behind the five-year period, and some of the information falls behind the information that HRPD gathered. Some information was before 2020 and may have been 2017 or 2018 information that may have been included in the plan that was approved in 2020. The Plan does not reflect a lot of text changes. Mr. Wade said if the Commission feels that there are some things that Staff should look at, they could. Ms. Darby will be presenting the updates tonight, and Ms. Cheek has submitted questions for after the presentation.

Ms. Anne Darby, Planning Department Manager for Summit Design and Engineering:

- This presentation is a limited update of what the Comprehensive Plan is and how it is used. The Comprehensive Plan data is outdated, and it is important to have data that is reflective of where we are now.
- The Comprehensive Plan is generally a 20-year time horizon-guiding document. It covers community vision, different topical sections, economic development, urban design, public facilities, housing parks, and open space.
- Future Land Use is one of the most important essential parts of the Comprehensive Plan and is required by the Code of Virginia.
- The Code of Virginia requires that every five years, the Planning Commission reviews and addresses the Comprehensive Plan and determines needed updates.
- The Route 31 corridor opportunities report is a part of the Virginia Chapter of the American Planning Association Annual Conference. EPR are members of the Board. The Conference held in Portsmouth was with Planners across the state, sharing advice.
- The Solar Amendment that was updated in 2023, was the result of significant public input. It was adopted by the Planning Commission and the Board of Supervisors. We are now trying to figure

out how to integrate the solar amendment into the Comprehensive Plan, because it is currently its own.

- Population, median age, housing units, poverty rate, and employment are all important when decisions are made by the Commission or Planning Director when making recommendations for changes. It is important to understand who is living in the County.
- One thing that isn't necessarily a change, but looks different, is the existing land use. It is used to describe what is on the ground now. Then make decisions about what the County would like to do in the future. The 2019 plan that the Hampton Roads Planning District Commission relied on assumptions, and it used zoning data to create the existing land use map.
- The Future Land Use Map is different because the color changed from gray to green.
- The Residential Overlay and the Residential Investment Area are both slightly larger.
- There are two mixed-use nodes. The Green Energy Partners Project on Hog Island Road is noted as an employment area on the Future Land Use Map, which was not on the past map. The blue blob on Hog Island Road is now larger.
- There are two Future Land Use, mixed-use areas. Bacon Castle Area is a mixed-use node. The idea would be, as future land use, it would eventually be an area that would be redeveloped to have mixed uses, including residential, commercial, and offices. Depending on the demand, you would also have a town-like feel.
- Another mixed-use node, which came up during the Route 31 Corridor study, is around Grays Creek. A mixed-use node with the marina restaurant, hotel, and rooms for rent. There could be more items, a mix of uses, and activity.
- During the scoping process, if you do a data update, you wouldn't need additional public participation. But if changing it to the Future Land Use Map, you would then need to have public participation. A public open house is scheduled for next Monday.
- The green net-like area is how you address future land use for existing solar projects. To some extent, it is an impactful land use and a temporary land use. Instead of marking them as properties rezoned to industrial with a conditional use permit, you would have it as a solar project. This is a medium of addressing the fact of starting from existing, to moving it to what it may be in the future for the next 20 or 30 years. After decommissioning, the land could be reinvested in, replaced with panels on the same site, or reverted to agricultural or forest use.

Ms. Cheek asked about emerging technologies. Ms. Darby said when comparing Hog Island Road on the old to the new map, the AS is listed as employment. On the old map, it is listed as the Nuclear Facility, as an employment district. The Future Land Use Map is anticipating the Green Energy Partners project, and the blue blob is twice as big. It is the existing Nuclear Facility and the GEP site. Mr. Hardy said that it is enclosed by the Environmental Preservation Area.

Ms. Cheek asked where the Historic Preservation Overlay would be on the new map. Ms. Darby said that it is not a future use but a zoning category. There are three major maps in any planning process or Comprehensive Plan. There is existing land use, simply of what is on the ground, no matter where you live, and the vision is reflected in the future land use map. There is also the zoning map, which is the legal parameter.

Ms. Cheek asked if there was a different overlay map showing Historic Preservation and whether there would be Historic Preservation overlays. Ms. Darby said the existing land use map has agricultural forests, commercial conservation, recreation, industrial, public or semi-public mobile homes, multifamily and residential homes. The zoning map has a Historic Preservation Overlay.

Ms. Cheek said that maybe the word overlay is throwing her off. Ms. Darby said that an overlay is a zoning, and it may be confusing to put an overlay on the Future Land Use Map. "Generally, an overlay district is a zoning district for historic preservation. The strongest way that you can protect existing buildings is with a local Historic District. The General Assembly enables it."

Mr. Teeples said when the overlay model was picked for the future land use category of the solar, it was placed over top of the rural preservation. The future land use category, acknowledging that right, there is now solar. In the future, you may want to see rural preservation on solar sites. Mr. Teeples said it is adhering to two different future land use categories, but in a way, "It's just kind of like adding additional layers of protection for property over time. The previous way of doing that would be to change over to industrial. If there is a numerous amount of industrial land in your Future Land Use Map, you could then open the doors to industrial development that may not have been previously open. This way, you're saying, okay, we have solar here now, but in the future, we do want to see more rural preservation. "

Ms. Darby asked the Commission if they had spoken to staff concerning Surry County keeping open new uses and not keeping the door open to the next new uses that may slip through that door. When speaking of an Emerging Technologies District, one of the initial propositions was to just rezone the Industrial District or add in data centers. Ms. Darby said it would then allow data centers wherever there is different industrial use, that is more appropriate.

Ms. Darby said that the Energy Amendment was a 2023 project. The Planning Commission recommended adoption, and the Board of Supervisors adopted it. The only change is that it was its own floating document and in the 2019 Comprehensive Plan, it's the Energy Amendment, which was woven in, same as the Route 31 Corridor Opportunities Report. The next step is to discuss a public open house, continue reviewing the draft, and incorporate comments.

Mr. Wade said that he would now review the questions that Ms. Cheek emailed. Page number three, Plan Development, states, which is now being updated in 2025. Chair Wooden said that the entire document is not being updated. Mr. Wade said that there may be additional text that we can add language to showing that this is a small data update. Mr. Wade said page number four, Comprehensive Plan Process, should be updated to 2005. Page number six, relationship to Surry County zoning ordinance, Mr. Wade thought that it was formatting when changing from pdf to a word document.

Mr. Wade said in Land Use Conditions 11 that he thought Ms. Cheek may have gone over this category. That energy technology and land use should be added to this section. Mr. Wade said again, "This is just data updates and is not textual updates. He said that we may not have a need to incorporate anything else or address solar later in the Comprehensive plan, and to show the Emerging Technologies late in the plan as well."

Mr. Wade said another question was, What is the trend with residential use? It appears that it has increased from 3% to 9%. Ms. Cheek said that when using the word only, " which has tripled, it is conflicting.

Ms. Cheek said, "The one thing overall of her view of this section of the document is that it is an important part of the Comprehensive Plan. It is where we are succinctly saying trends and conditions in our County." She does understand the approach of just updating numbers. Ms. Cheek said maybe to see where the Board of Supervisors would like to see growth in the County. This section may have more than just updated the numbers, to put in something proud, so that people at the State level can read. "Like new businesses coming into our community and noting emerging technologies."

Ms. Cheek asked what the meaning of the statement is, "Legislative direction?" She thought in that category it says, "Almost no land necessary is zoned for more intense use than the underlying is land use. That indicates existing conditions are generally aligned with legislative direction. One prime example that we used earlier was, imagining a piece of property that is industrial, but you're using it as a cow pasture or some agricultural field. We are not underused in underutilizing the land. If you have a zoning in place that says it's industrial, you're not using it for something that you could have an AR zoning designation."

Ms. Cheek said for number 11, How is Surry's agricultural sector growing, especially since jobs are declining. It says, "The number of jobs decreased from 150 to 88." Mr. Teeple said that when looking into the value of agricultural products, it has been increasing according to the latest AG census of 2022. Surry County saw \$60 million in sales of agricultural products, which tripled from 2017. The value of agricultural products is increasing, but the number of jobs is decreasing. When looking further into exactly why, "It's because there is the growing of cash crops, like corn and soybeans, and when efficiencies come into labor, there's less requirement for jobs, but more agricultural production involved." Ms. Cheek said that it would be helpful to add Mr. Teeple's findings, making the sentence more understandable.

Mr. Wade said for the fourth bullet point, the questions were asked about jobs filled by people outside of the County, and the skills of those jobs, hiring practices, and the question asked 80% of workers leaving the County are good questions to ask, but she doesn't know if it will be covered in the Comprehensive Plan Update. Mr. Coggin asked, "Where does that particular statement come from that says workers are leaving the County. That rationale doesn't sound right." Do they leave the County to get lower-paying jobs?" Mr. Coggin said the median income would be approximately \$70,000 in Surry County.

Ms. Darby said that she thought it would be people coming into the County to work, maybe at the Nuclear Plant. Chair Wooden noted that they are often disqualified and leave for lower-paying jobs because they may lack the skill set required for a higher-paying role. Mr. Coggin said in the County, the school system and Dominion are your two largest employers. Not everyone has the skill set to work in the school system as a teacher or at Dominion in a top-level position. They go outside the County to take a job that does not pay as much. Ms. Cheek said she understood, but the statement could be phrased more clearly.

Mr. Wade said there is a concern about where the Industrial Park is located. It is only a couple of miles from the Town of Surry and is not in a remote location. Mr. Wade thought there might be a question about what "remote" means in the regional term when looking at HRPDC, which completed the 2020 Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Wade said that Route 10 is where the park is located. It is not on a significant statewide route. Highway 460 experiences regional traffic, but Route 10 does not. When it says it's remote, it means that remote is not part of a huge transportation network.

Mr. Wade said that certain industries prefer to be near transportation networks. They limit themselves because they would not have certain checkboxes. You would almost be limiting yourself to consideration if you are not along Highway 460 or Interstate 95. You may limit yourself to where you are located, which may be why they consider it remote. Ms. Cheek said that maybe we should look at how we could rephrase that statement to include, "The benefits of our Industrial Area."

Second bullet point, for faster growth of employment, Ms. Cheek's comment was that employment is expected to grow faster than the population. Mr. Wade said that we are seeing more population growth

in the County than jobs. Recently, using the 2023 data, the population decreased by 122. The change for the 2019 Plan would be a population decrease, but an employment increase in the County. It will consider those in the County who are employed. Ms. Cheek asked if it considered people living in the County who are employed. The answer was yes.

Ms. Cheek said, "If I was a business, looking at that statement, would I want to come to Surry if the population is decreasing. She is trying to point out what we are trying to really say here? What is the meaning in this statement, "That's helpful to us as a County?" Mr. Wade said that he thought, "What you have in front of you, is helpful information. Because we also understand that as a County, we do want housing. We do collectively want to have more affordable housing here and to see a certain type of industry that will grow the County. So, matching the two things together, hoping that people who look at our Comprehensive Plan, see that we will work with them." Ms. Cheek said she liked that, but the statement doesn't say that.

Existing railways are adequate, Mr. Wade said. How does the statement align with the Route 31 Study and the County's issues regarding Energy Project Construction? He thought we would review that to see whether it can be addressed and ensure it is. Also, what is needed around broadband? Mr. Wade said the latest broadband report for our area indicates some may have issues, but we are at a point where everyone can connect. Ms. Cheek said that maybe say, "Broadband is accomplished. "

Community Facilities; page number 13. Mr. Wade said that there were no changes and all of that remained the same. Mr. Coggin noted you may want to change where it says, "Surry residents are generally less healthy than Virginians. How does that help in terms of the aging population?" Mr. Wade said that maybe we will put some context there. There are community reports from Crater Health that show where Surry is for the year. Mr. Coggin said that he agrees that we have an older population and they surely have more ailments, which is found under community facilities. Mr. Coggin asked if the point is trying to say that there is a need for a hospital. Chair Wooden said that was the point. If he remembers correctly, "That we need more services that cater to the aging population and those with illness." Mr. Wade said that it could be added to that category.

Mr. Wade said the next couple of lines addressed pursuing a grocery store, which has been achieved, and continuing to support an existing grocery store. Maybe add another language there and that we are working towards another community facility. Mr. Coggin said maybe add a few words like, "Due to the elderly population." People may say, "Why would I want to go to Surry if people are unhealthier, do they have the plague there." Mr. Wade said we could also look to add context.

Chair Wooden asked Mr. Wade, he suggested, Unless somebody has an objection, "It is important for the comments and the bullet points that are being shared by Ms. Cheek, and I appreciate the email that was sent to Commissioners. But maybe this is an opportunity for staff to work with the Consultants to go through this bullet, instead of us going through the entire document tonight. And then be able to provide some detailed answers for us. Also, having the opportunity, as Commissioners, to read Ms. Cheek's comments and questions and to be able to communicate with Staff either with input or with further questions."

Ms. Cheek asked if there was an appendix to this document. The appendix produced is not a current document. Mr. Wade stated for the record, "The appendix was here, prior to when it was approved. The Comprehensive Plan was approved with an appendix. There were amendments to the appendix, including the energy section. A portion of that, as well as the Route 31 report, was added in. There were amendments made to the appendix, but they existed." Ms. Cheek asked what the amendment would

entail and whether it would require a supporting report for the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Wade said it was what we used to support the Comprehensive Plan. Ms. Cheek asked which year the opportunities reports were produced, and Mr. Wade said 2020.

Ms. Cheek asked if the reports were being updated or if we were updating the body of the Comprehensive Plan. Mr. Wade said that part of the Appendix is being updated, including the energy projects section. Mr. Wade also said, "Some of the information that was gathered in the Comprehensive Plan Update for the energy projects was relevant. We used some of that information in the Comprehensive Plan, and the remainder went into the Appendix and the report chart. It was charts, graphs, and similar materials.

Ms. Cheek asked whether the report was a living document rather than a static one. Was it used to support the gathered information? Ms. Cheek said that if additional useful information is received, it could also be added to the Appendix. Ms. Cheek asked whether there was an opportunity to hold more than one open house, perhaps at different times or in different districts. Have people come in to learn about what is being done and provide additional input via a post-survey.

Mr. Wade said a schedule had been sent to the Commission. The schedule did not include more than one open house, and the Comprehensive Plan was posted online. A flyer will be released on Monday, with an email address where comments can be sent. It is compplan@surrycountyva.gov. They can also call or come by the office if they have any questions.

Mr. Wade said that it may be best to arrange an appointment, "Whether it be teams or in person. We'll be accepting comments from November 3 until December 1, 2025. The floor will be open for people to make comments on the Comprehensive Plan Updates, and to reiterate, it was a minor update." Mr. Wade said that this information would be shared with the Commission at the December 15th meeting. Personal information, like the name and email address, can be removed.

ADJOURNMENT:

Chair Wooden entertained a motion to adjourn at 7:48 PM until the November 17th meeting. It was moved by Mr. Newby and seconded by Mr. Hardy. It was moved and properly seconded that the meeting be adjourned. All those in favor signify by saying Aye.

Commissioners: Aye